

1           **CREITZ & SEREBIN LLP**  
 2           Joseph A. Creitz  
 3           100 Pine Street, Suite 1250  
 4           San Francisco, CA 94111  
 5           Telephone: (415) 466-3090  
 6           Fax: (415) 513-4475  
 7           Email: [joe@creitzserebin.com](mailto:joe@creitzserebin.com)

8           **WALCHESKE & LUZI, LLC**  
 9           Paul M. Secunda (*Pro hac vice*)  
 10          235 N. Executive Dr., Suite 240  
 11          Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005  
 12          Telephone: (414) 828-2372  
 13          Fax: (262) 565-6469  
 14          E-Mail: [psecunda@walcheskeluzi.com](mailto:psecunda@walcheskeluzi.com)

15          Counsel for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class

16           **MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**  
 17          Mark A. Feller, Bar No. 319789  
 18          One Market, Spear Street Tower  
 19          San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
 20          Tel: +1.415.442.1000  
 21          Fax: +1.415.442.1001  
 22          Email: [mark.feller@morganlewis.com](mailto:mark.feller@morganlewis.com)

23          Deborah S. Davidson (*pro hac vice*)  
 24          110 N. Wacker Drive  
 25          Chicago, IL 60606-1511  
 26          Tel: +1.312.324.1159  
 27          Fax: +1.312.324.1001  
 28          Email: [deborah.davidson@morganlewis.com](mailto:deborah.davidson@morganlewis.com)

29          Sean McMahan (*pro hac vice*)  
 30          1717 Main Street, Suite 3200  
 31          Dallas, TX 75201-7347  
 32          Tel: +1.214.466.4000  
 33          Fax: +1.214.466.4001  
 34          Email: [sean.mcmahan@morganlewis.com](mailto:sean.mcmahan@morganlewis.com)

35          Jared R. Killeen (*pro hac vice*)  
 36          MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
 37          [jared.killeen@morganlewis.com](mailto:jared.killeen@morganlewis.com)  
 38          2222 Market Street  
 39          Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 40          Tel: 215.963.5000

41          Attorneys for Defendants  
 42          SERVICENOW, INC. AND THE BOARD  
 43          OF DIRECTORS OF SERVICENOW, INC.

44          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 45          FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 46  
 47          PAUL S. RUBKE and SHERIDA DU LAC DE  
 48          FUGERES, individually and as representatives  
 49          of a Class of Participants and Beneficiaries of  
 50          the ServiceNow, Inc. 401(k) Plan,  
 51  
 52          Plaintiffs,

53          v  
 54  
 55          SERVICENOW, INC. and  
 56          BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF  
 57          SERVICENOW, INC.,

58          Defendants.

59  
 60          Case No. 3:24-cv-01050-TLT

61          **L.R. 7-12 STIPULATION IN SUPPORT  
 62          OF JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE  
 63          MOTION TO VACATE DEADLINES  
 64          IN SCHEDULING ORDER**

65          Second Am. Compl. Filed: November 8, 2024

66          Judge: Trina L. Thompson

---

67  
 68          STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO VACATE DEADLINES IN  
 69          SCHEDULING ORDER

70  
 71          Case No. 3:24-cv-01050-TLT

1           Paul S. Rubke and Sherida Du Lac De Fugeres (together, “Plaintiffs”) and ServiceNow,  
 2 Inc., and the Board of Directors of ServiceNow, Inc. (together, “Defendants,” and collectively the  
 3 “Parties”), through their respective counsel, submit this Stipulation under Local Rule 7-12 in  
 4 support of their Joint Administrative Motion to Vacate Deadlines in Scheduling Order. The Parties  
 5 stipulate as follows:

6           1.       The Court previously stayed all discovery pending its assessment of whether  
 7 Plaintiffs have stated a plausible claim in this action. In response to Plaintiffs’ request for  
 8 “plausibility” discovery, the Court permitted “limited” discovery to allow Plaintiffs “to cure the  
 9 pleadings defects that the Court pointed out in its Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss”  
 10 the Amended Complaint. Dkt. Nos. 44 & 51. Magistrate Judge Kang limited the Parties’ discovery  
 11 to just four specific categories of documents related to Defendant’s monitoring of Plan investments.  
 12 Dkt. No. 61. The Parties have conducted no discovery beyond the “limited” discovery permitted  
 13 by the Court.

14           2.       Because the Court stayed all but “limited” discovery, and because Defendants’  
 15 motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint is still pending, it would be a waste of the  
 16 Parties’ and the Court’s time and resources to proceed with the current schedule before the Court  
 17 has permitted any of Plaintiffs’ claims to proceed in this action.

18           3.       To start, the Parties have not engaged in the type of discovery required for briefing  
 19 a motion for class certification, including the depositions of the named Plaintiffs, the deposition of  
 20 any fact witnesses for the defense, and any expert discovery related to the scope of the class.

21           4.       Further, the Parties have not exchanged written discovery requests and responses,  
 22 engaged in any discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”) maintained by Defendants’  
 23 ESI custodians, or conducted any expert discovery related to Plaintiffs’ claims and alleged losses.  
 24 Without this discovery, the Parties are not prepared to brief their anticipated motions for summary  
 25 judgment.

26           5.       The Parties agree that it would be premature to engage in the anticipated discovery  
 27 described above before the Court rules on Defendants’ pending motion to dismiss and determines

28           STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO VACATE DEADLINES IN  
 SCHEDULING ORDER

whether Plaintiffs' claims may proceed.

6. Therefore, the Parties ask the Court to vacate all deadlines set in the Court's Case Management and Scheduling Order and to reset those deadlines only if the Court denies dismissal of any of the claims asserted in the Second Amended Complaint. Should the Court deny Defendants' motion to dismiss in whole or in part, the Parties will propose new deadlines for the Court's consideration within three weeks of that ruling.

Dated: February 12, 2025

## WALCHESKE & LUZI, LLC

## MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By /s/ Paul M. Secunda  
Paul M. Secunda (*Pro hac vice*)  
235 N. Executive Dr., Suite 240  
Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005  
Telephone: (414) 828-2372  
Fax: (262) 565-6469  
E-Mail: [psecunda@walcheskeluzi.com](mailto:psecunda@walcheskeluzi.com)

By /s/ Deborah S. Davidson  
Deborah S. Davidson (*pro hac vice*)  
110 N. Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606-1511  
Telephone: +312.324.1159  
Facsimile: +312.324.1001  
[deborah.davidson@morganlewis.com](mailto:deborah.davidson@morganlewis.com)

CREITZ & SEREBIN LLP  
Joseph A. Creitz  
100 Pine Street, Suite 1250  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 466-3090  
Fax: (415) 513-4475  
Email: [joe@creitzserebin.com](mailto:joe@creitzserebin.com)

Sean K. McMahan (*pro hac vice*)  
1717 Main Street, Suite 3200  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Telephone: +1.214.466.4000  
Facsimile: +1.214.466-4001  
[sean.mcmahan@morganlewis.com](mailto:sean.mcmahan@morganlewis.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class*

Mark A. Feller, Bar No. 319789  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
Tel: +1.415.442.1000  
Fax: +1.415.442.1001  
[mark.feller@morganlewis.com](mailto:mark.feller@morganlewis.com)

Jared R. Killeen (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
[jared.killeen@morganlewis.com](mailto:jared.killeen@morganlewis.com)  
2222 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: 215 963 5000

### *Attorneys for Defendants*

## **L.R. 5-1(h)(3) Certification**

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of the foregoing document has been obtained from each of the other Signatories.

STIPULATION IN SUPPORT OF JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO VACATE DEADLINES IN SCHEDULING ORDER

1                            /s/ Mark A. Feller  
2                            Mark A. Feller  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28